

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,652	02/16/2007	Egbert Classen	2003P00942WOUS	3954
	7590 12/29/2010 PPLIANCES CORPORAT	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPART	RIGGLEMAN, JASON PAUL		
100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1711	
				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination CLASSEN ET AL.		
10/581,652			
	Art Unit		
BENJAMIN UTECH	1797		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal I	Brief Request for Revi	ew filed 9 Decer	mber 2010.			
 Improper Request – The Rereason(s): 	equest is improper an	d a conference v	will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not inclu ☐ A proposed amendment is ☐ Other:	ude reasons why a rev	iew is appropria	ite.			
The time period for filing a respon- the mail date of the last Office con			ate of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received.			
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.						
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from continuous		aim(s) is as follow	ws:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
All participants:						
(1) <u>BENJAMIN UTECH</u> .		(3) <u>Michael Bar</u>	<u>r</u> .			
(2) <u>Jason P. Riggleman</u> .		(4)				
/Benjamin L. Utech/ Primary Examiner	/Jason Riggleman/ Examiner		/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art			